







MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR NO. 133
Series of 2025

TO:

All Concerned

BF.

Performance Gaps in the FY 2024 Agency Performance Review

FROM:

DR. PROSE IVY G. YEPES

University President

DATE:

April 22, 2025

This office received communication from the Department of Budget and Management-Region VIII regarding the results of the FY 2024 Agency Performance Review (APR), where VSU obtained an overall rating of **4.58**. While the university performed strongly in many areas, the report also highlighted several performance gaps that require immediate attention:

- Unobligated Allotments: A total of 11.634 million under congress-introduced projects remained unobligated. The delay was mainly due to the transition of project leadership, administrative changes, and procurement challenges, including lack of bidders.
- Disbursement Budget Utilization Rate (BUR): Disbursement rates declined to 89.18% (vs. obligations) and 85.49% (vs. program), compared to 95.49% and 87.12% in the previous fiscal year. The delays were largely due to the unreleased NCA for Free Higher Education and infrastructure funds affected by the transfer of appropriations to DPWH under GAA Special Provision No. 5.
- 3. Physical Performance Discrepancies: Although all 14 targets were achieved, discrepancies were found in the data for the Technical Advisory Extension Program, especially in light of CHED's validation of FY 2023 outputs for PBB eligibility. This highlights the need for a technical working group to ensure accuracy and timely submission of performance data.
- Income from Grants and Donations: This income target was not met, primarily due to delays in the liquidation of previously released funds, which prevented new grants from being processed.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

2/F Administration Building, Visayas State University PQWW+RJM, Baybay City, Leyte, Philippines 6521 Email: op@vsu.edu.ph | Website: www.vsu.edu.ph Phone: +63 53 565 0600 Local 1000



All relevant units are hereby advised to assess these findings and implement necessary corrective actions. Focus should be given to improving project execution, timely liquidation, procurement responsiveness, and cross-validation of reports for consistency.

The document is attached in this memorandum circular for your reference.

For your information and strict compliance.

cc: Toni Marc L. Dargantes, Planning Director
Glenn G. Pajares, VP for Planning and Development

Vision:

A global green university providing progressive leadership in agriculture, science & technology, education and allied fields for societal transformation.

Mission:

To produce graduates equipped with advanced knowledge and lifelong learning skills with ethical standards through high quality instruction, innovative research, and impactful community engagements.

Page 2 of 2 FM-OOP-02 V06 01-23-2025 No. 25-133



### REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

# DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT REGION VIII

April 7, 2025

### **PROSE IVY G. YEPES**

President Visayas State University Baybay City, Leyte

# REGIONAL OFFICE TACLOBAN CITY, LEYEL OFFICE A SIE DEMAIL ONLY WITH COPY BY: DATE: LORELIER, BADAJOS OULDES

### Dear President Yepes:

In accordance with the provisions prescribed under Circular Letter No. 2025-2,<sup>1</sup> and after review, assessment, discussion and agreements during the Annual APR Conference of the physical, financial, and income performance for the period January to December of FY 2024, we are pleased to provide you the results of the FY 2024 APR for <u>Visayas State University (VSU)</u>, as evaluated by the DBM.

As shown in the Annual Agency Performance Review (AAPR) Tool per Annex A, the **VSU** achieved a total score of **4.58**, taking into consideration the financial and physical performance and timeliness and quality of the report submissions.

Relative to the APR Conference conducted on February 26, 2025, we noted the following discussions and agreements particularly on the identified gaps affecting the department/agency performance:

### **Financial Performance**

 VSU achieved a high obligation budget utilization rate (BUR), registering 98.69% against its allotment and 95.87% against its program. Notably, the obligations BUR versus the program showed improvement compared to the previous fiscal year, increasing from 91.23% to 95.87%.

To sustain and further improve this commendable performance, the agency should continue regular performance monitoring, ensure closer alignment of work and financial plans with implementation capacity and timelines, and consistently carry out early procurement activities (EPA). Efforts must also include capacity-building initiatives such as regular training and coaching for project implementers, strengthened coordination with oversight agencies, and the establishment of cross-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Guidelines For the Conduct of Agency Performance Reviews (APR) Covering Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 and Years Thereafter dated February 10, 2025

functional teams to address bottlenecks in procurement, reporting, and monitoring, among others.

2. Despite the high obligations BUR, evaluation revealed a significant unobligated allotment amounting to ₱11.634 million² under the congress-introduced projects titled "Rootcrops Genomic: Securing Rootcrops Genetic Diversity and Enhancing Crop Improvement for Climate-Smart Agriculture" and "Capacity Development on Futures Thinking and Strategic Foresight."

VSU encountered several challenges that contributed to delays in project implementation, including the transition to a new project-in-charge following the retirement of the previous project leader, changes in the university administration and leadership and the delays in procurement as some items have no bidder.

In light of these challenges, it is essential for the newly designated project-incharge to consistently and regularly monitor the progress of all stakeholders to accelerate implementation. Strengthening the conduct of regular coordination meetings is also recommended to provide timely status updates. Furthermore, the scheduled activities of VSU under the genomics project—such as the conduct of workshops, procurement of laboratory equipment and consumables, hiring of key personnel, and field visits for trial monitoring—must be closely tracked and executed as planned. program? atch. up Naw?

 In terms of disbursement BUR, the University registered accomplishment rates of only 89.18% against obligations and 85.49% against the program. These figures reflect a decline compared to the previous/comparable fiscal year, which posted 95.49% and 87.12%, respectively.

Nonetheless, the lower disbursement levels are recognized as being largely due to factors beyond the University's control. These include the unreleased Notice of Cash Allocation (NCA) intended for Free Higher Education and the delayed implementation of a project with infrastructure components, as affected by Special Provision No. 5 of the FY 2024 General Appropriations Act (GAA), which mandates the transfer of infrastructure-related appropriations to the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH).

Fitte Gen- Set

Despite these constraints, VSU remains committed to fully implementing its projects within the fiscal year. To support this, the University will continue to undertake strategies such as constant coordination and regular meetings with concerned suppliers, DPWH, project focal persons/implementers, the planning and finance team, the Bids and Awards Committee (BAC), and end-users. These efforts aim to promptly resolve bottlenecks and ensure the timely and full utilization of available funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rootcrops allocation ₱10M, obligation ₱70K; Futures Thinking allocation ₱2M, obligation ₱296K

### **Physical Performance**

4. VSU is commended for achieving the targets for all 14 performance indicators, with many even surpassing the expected results. Considering this success, it is recommended that the targets be re-evaluated, particularly for the indicators under the Technical Advisory Extension Program, which has consistently demonstrated improved performance in recent years, yet its targets have not been adjusted accordingly.

Additionally, following the results of evaluation conducted by the Commission on Higher Education regarding the physical accomplishments for the previous year (2023) as part of the eligibility criteria and conditions for the grant of the Performance-Based Bonus, it was noted that some indicators posted unmet TA Revf.

Which the data submitted by VSU to this office. Therefore, VSU should proceed with its plan to establish a technical working group that will ensure accurate data collection and timely submission of outputs.

### **Income Performance**

5. The overall target, along with the targets for each income type/category, was exceeded, except for grants and donations. The shortfall in this category resulted from a decrease in the receipt of project and research funds from external funding agencies, which require the full liquidation of previously disbursed funds before processing new releases.

y extends field project

To address this issue, VSU plans to tackle the challenge of unliquidated funds by regularly preparing liquidation status reports (e.g., monthly or quarterly), hiring personnel to manage the preparation of FHE billing, and coordinating closely with the relevant office to ensure timely preparation of funding proposals.

## **Reportorial Performance**

6. As of December 31, 2024, VSU successfully submitted all 16 required Budget and Financial Accountability Reports within the prescribed deadline following the existing guidelines. The University is encouraged to sustain this performance by ensuring not only the timely submission both hardcopy and in the Unified Reporting System, but also the accuracy of reports, reflecting its strong commitment to accountability, efficiency, and effective decision-making.

The government appreciates the efforts of your agency in delivering its commitments in terms of the performance indicators reflected in Volume II of the FY 2024 General Appropriations Act.

We hope the results of this review will guide you in ensuring a fruitful performance in the coming years.

Thank you and best regards.

Very truly yours,

IMELDA C. LACERAS, CESO III

Director IV

DBM Regional Office No. VIII

Copy furnished:

The Undersecretary and Assistant Secretary

Budget Preparation and Execution Group

The Directors

Budget and Management Bureau F

Budget Technical Bureau

Fiscal Planning and Reforms Bureau DBM-Central Office, San Migel, Manila

### ANNUAL AGENCY PERFORMANCE REVIEW (AAPR) TOOL

PERIOD COVERED: DEPARTMENT: AGENCY: January to December 2024
STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES

VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY

### PART A - FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

(Amounts in Thousand Pesos)

		Current Fiscal Year						
PARTICULARS	Previous/ Comparable Fiscal Year		Agency Specific Budget	Continuing	Automatic Appropria- tions (RLIP&SAGF)	Special Purpose Funds (SPFs)	Others (eg. Supplemental Appropriations, Unprogrammed Appropriatons)	Inc/Dec
1	2	3=4+5+6+	4	5	6	7	8 •	9=3-2
Appropriations	1,241,907	1,280,448	1,129,282	70,109	55,705	25,352	-	38,541
Allotments	1,143,243	1,243,820	1,092,654	70,109	55,705	25,352		100,577
Obligation Program (BED No. 1)	1,241,907	1,280,448	1,129,282	70,109	55,705	25,352		38,541
Actual Obligations	1,132,983	1,227,543	1,076,461	70,029	55,703	25,350	-	94,560
Disbursement Program (BED No. 3)	1,241,907	1,280,448	1,129,282	70,109	55,705	25,352		38,541
Actual Disbursements	1,081,910	1,094,665	953,085	62,729	55,703	23,148	-	12,755
Unobligated Allotment	10,260	16,277	16,193	80	2	2	-	6,017
Unpaid Obligation	51,073	132,878	123,376	7,300	-	2,202		81,805
Budget Utilization Rate:								
Obligation vs. Allotment	99.10%	98.69%	98.52%	99.89%	100.00%	99.99%	#DIV/0!	-0.41%
Obligation vs. BED No. 1	91.23%	95.87%	95.32%	99.89%	100.00%	99.99%	#DIV/0!	4.64%
Disbursement vs. Obligation	95.49%	89.18%	88.54%	89.58%	100.00%	91.31%	#DIV/0!	-6.32%
Disbursement vs. BED No. 3	87.12%	85.49%	84.40%	89.47%	100.00%	91.31%	#DIV/0!	-1.63%

### A.1 Unobligated Allotments Accounted for as follows:

December / Decises	Unobligated Allotment								
Program/Project —	PS	MOOE	FINEX	co	TOTAL	1			
1	2	3	4	5	6=2+3+4+5	I			
GRAND TOTAL	75	13,008	-	3,194	16,277	1			
CURRENT YEAR APPROPRIATIONS	71	13,001	-	3,121	16,193	1			
General Administration and Support		3	-		3	7			
Support to Operations		390	*)	218	608	7			
Higher Education Program	7	11,905		2,452	14,364				
Advanced Education Program	-	1	-	-	1	E			
5. Research Program	64	638		451	1,153	1			
6. Technical Advisory ExtensionProgram	-	64		-	64	-			
AUTOMATIC APPROPRIATIONS	2	-		-	2	F			
RLIP	2				2	7			
SPECIAL PURPOSE FUNDS	2	-	-		2	F			
PGF	-	-	-			1			
MPBF	2		-		2	1			
CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS	-	7	-	73	80				
General Administration and Support						F			
Support to Operations					-	0			
Higher Education Program	-	5		57	62				
Advanced Education Program						V			
5. Research Program				16	16				
6. Technical Advisory ExtensionProgram		2			2	- 1			
OTHERS	-				-	5			
PAP						0			

Najority of the unobligated funds pertain to CICA LFPs inder MOOE, namely: Capacity Development on Futures Thinking and Strategic Foresight at ₱1.7M and Root Crops Genomic Project: "Securing Rootcrops Genetic Diversity & Enhancing Crop Improvement for Climate Smart Agriculture," at ₱9.9M, both related to research, exploration and development expenses. Aside from the projects being considered as CICAs, per VSU there were lifficulties encountered such as the transitioning to the new project in charge in view of the retirement of the project leader; change in VSU administration/transition in eadership which necessitated the realignment of nstitutional priorities, causing delays in project initiation; and delayed procurement of laboratory supplies and naterials as some items have no bidder. For CO, a larger portion of the unobligated amount was due to the difference between the ABC and contract price. Said amount however will still be utilized by VSU this year which is treated as continuing appropriations.

Reason/Justification

Under PS and continuing appropriations, only minimal unobligated allotment was noted which are deemed savings and already below the threshold of P50K for capital outlay for various projects.

### A.2 Unpaid Obligations Accounted for as follows:

	MOOE	FINEX			
		I AITEA	co	TOTAL	1
	3	4	5	6=2+3+4+5	Γ
92	83,599	-	40,487	132,878	1
90	80,135	-	36,651	123,376	I
197	4,253	-	-	5,750	18
389	1,085	-	33,983	35,457	1
110	70,393		2,657	74,160	1,
716	452	-		1,168	1
506	2,545	-	11	5,162	1
272	1,407	-	-	1,679	0
-	-	-	-	-	F
_					1
6	716 606 272	606 2,545 272 1,407	606 2,545 - 272 1,407 -	606 2,545 - 11 272 1,407	716

Majority of the unpaid obligations for PS represent the SRI & CNAI due to insufficient NCA as the same was charged against savings and allowed to be paid only later part of the year.

Reason/Justification

For MOOE & CO, the bulk of unpaid obligations are under current year appropriations, which represent the FHE at P63.9M and undelivered equipment (generator) at P33.9M. The unpaid FHE was due to non-release of NCA by this level since disbursement

Program/Activity/Project		Un	paid Obligati	ions		Reason/Justification		estification
(PAP)	PS	MOOE	FINEX	со	TOTAL		icessori <sub>j</sub> si	,
SPECIAL PURPOSE FUNDS	2,202	3 -	4 -	5 -	6=2+3+4+5 2.202	program was a	already deplete	d. The NCA was only released
PGF	2,202	-	-			this March 202	25 upon cleara	nce by the DBM BTB. For the
MPBF								as unable to secure customs son in December, and these
CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS	-	3,464		3,836	7,300			priority materials for release
General Administration and Support				-	-	which involve	s a significan	t amount. Nonetheless, the
Support to Operations     Higher Education Program	-	37 3,255		3,784	7,039			required delivery period. It is
4. Advanced Education Program	-	-	-	-	-			procurement was conducted the EPA conducted (in 2023)
5. Research Program	-	29		52	81	includes the in	nfrastructure c	omponent of the project, but
<ol><li>Technical Advisory ExtensionProgram</li></ol>	-	143	-		143			transferred to DPWH in view
OTHERS	-	-		-		of SP 5 of FY 2	2024 GAA.	
PAP					-,	were due to	lacking deliver	ler continuing appropriations y of various items/equipment Jursing and other Colleges of
PART B - Physical Accomplishment	(Key Outcom	e/Output Pe	rformance Ir	ndicators (KC	PIs)			
	Previous/C	Control of the Contro		Curre	nt Period		Inc/Dec	Remarks/ Justification
KOPIs	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Variance	Accomp	IIIC/ Dec	Remarks/ Justineacion
1	2	3	4	5	6=5-4	7=5/4	8=5-3	9
HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM								
Outcome Indicator								
Percentage of first-time licensure								1,313 out of the 1,597 or 82.22% first-time takers of the professional examinations passed the licensure exams
exam-takers that pass the licensure exams	60.60%	73.64%	60.00%	82.22%	22.22%	137.03%	8.58%	This underscores VSU's dedication to delivering quality education and producing graduates ready to meet the demands and challenges of their respective professions.
Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed	82.08%	88.45%	80.00%	87.06%	7.06%	108.83%	-1.39%	1,426 are employed out of the 1,638 or 87.06% graduates that responded to the tracer study conducted by VSU; total graduates 2,474 but only 1,638 responded to the survey / tracer study conducted
Output Indicator								
Percentage of undergraduate						*		15,462 out of 16,212 enrollees
students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs	70.66%	82.37%	85.00%	95.37%	10.37%	112.20%	13.00%	VSU's offers academic programs that respond to critical societal and economic needs
Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation	92.50%	100.00%	90.00%	100.00%	10.00%	111.11%	0.00%	all 39 programs achieved accreditation to ensure high academic standards
Average of the resu	ilts vs previous	comparable ye	ear (actual cur	rent / actual p	revious compara	ble period)		106.47%
ADVANCE EDUCATION PROGRAM								
Outcome Indicator								
Percentage of graduate school faculty engaged in research work applied in any of the following:	23.00%	42.68%	30.00%	46.25%	16.25%	154,17%	3.57%	37 out of 80 graduate faculty members; VSU has stong commitment to faculty
a. pursuing advanced research degree programs (Ph.D) or								development and research
b. actively pursuing within the last three (3) years (investigative research, basic and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research) or		e.						excellence
c. producing technologies for commercialization or livelihood improvement or								
d. whose research work resulted in an extension program or								

PART B - Physical Accomplishment	Previous/C				nt Period		Inc/Doc	Remarks/Justification
KOPIs	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Variance	Accomp Rate	Inc/Dec	11/100011000177-25-0-00000-7-00000-00000-000
1	2	3	4	5	6=5-4	7=5/4	8=5-3	9
Output Indicator  1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled in research degree programs	86.00%	84.70%	84.00%	84.11%	0.11%	100.13%	-0.59%	450 out of 535 graduate students, VSU puts strong emphasis on research- driven graduate education
Percentage of accredited graduate programs	91.42%	100.00%	90.00%	100.00%	10.00%	111.11%	0.00%	all 28 graduate programs achieved accreditation; The university is dedicated to maintaining high academic standards and continuous program improvement
Average of the resu	its vs previous	comparable ye	ear (actual cur	rent / actual pr	evious compara	ble period)		102.56%
RESEARCH PROGRAM								
Outcome Indicator								from 2002 to 2024 B7
Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries	60	74	60	87	27	145.00%	13	from 2022 to 2024, 87 research outputs were utilized; this highlights the university's stron research impact and its relevance to real-world applications
Output Indicator								
Number of research outputs     completed within the year	45	67	45	46	1	102.22%	(21)	exceeded the target by 1
Percentage of research outputs published in internationally-refereed or CHED recognized journal within the year	35.00%	88.06%	35.00%	35.63%	0.63%	101.80%	-52.43%	57 out of 160 or 35.63% completed research outputs from the years 2022-2024 were published in SCOPUS. Thomson Reuters, Elsevier, and other equally reputable journals in 2024
Average of the resu	ilts vs previous	comparable y	ear (actual cur	rent / actual pr	revious compara	ble period)		75.56%
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION								
Outcome Indicator								
Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities	20	25	20	58	38	290.00%	33	highly exceeded its target by 38 which underscores VSU's strong engagement with various sectors and its contributions to community delopment and collaboration
Output Indicator								
1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training	26,000	31,679	26,000	31,223	5,223	120.09%	(456)	surpassed the target due to the various extension programs and projects implemented; per VSU, they are committed in the delivery of effective outreach efforts and its capacity to deliver impactful training programs
Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's mandated and priority programs	35	46	35	65	30	185.71%	19	as justified, the high accomplishment was attributed to VSU's unwavering commitment to fulfilling its mission and addressing community needs
Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance  Average of the results.	95.00%	99.68%	95.00% ear (actual cur	100.00%	5.00%	105.26% ble period)	0.32%	all or 2,882 training beneficiaries expressed high satisfaction in terms of quality and relevance of the training provided; per VSU, this reinforces the University's dedication to delivering high quality, meaningful training programs  143.05%

PART C - Revenue Performance	Previous/Co			Curren	t Period		Inc/Des	Bomarke/ Justification	
Income (per BESF)	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Variance	Accomp Rate	Inc/Dec	Remarks/ Justification	
1	2	3	4	5	6=5-4	7=5/4	8=5-3	9	
Tuition Fees	111,329	63,737	78,806	88,840	10,034	112.73%	25,103		
Income Collected from Students	69,974	70,953	72,478	1,525	102.15%	2,504	The overall target as well as target on a per income		
ncome from Other Sources 47,118		49,354	40,126	64,493	24,367	160.73%	15,139	type/category were exceeded, except for the grants/ donations. The latte	
Income from Revolving funds	23,379	25,702	18,826	30,912	12,086	164.20%	5,210	was due to lower receipt of project and research funding from external funding	
Grants/donations	196,823	131,241	163,917	142,598	(21,319)	86.99%	11,357	agencies, since the latte require full liquidation of previously downloader	
Others					-		•	funds before processing new releases.	
TOTAL	444,321	340,008	372,628	399,321	26,693	107.16%	59,313		
PART D - Findings and Action Ite	ms								
Findings (insert additional rows if no	ecessary)		Action	Items			elines n/yy)	Responsible Unit	
1				2			3	4	
Financial Performance									
		Continue to confices on the			rent concerned	February to December 2025		FMO	
VSU obtained high obligations BUR at noted however that a substant		Use of several	google sheets	for monitoring	9	February to D	ecember 2025	FMO/Budget	
unobligated allotments under MOOE LFP: Root Crops Genomic Project (of the ₱10M allocation only ₱7K was obligated).  Disbursements BUR was relatively high at 89.18%. The pending delivery of the generator however posted a significant amount as unpaid obligations at ₱33.9M. While EPA was conducted by VSU, a reprocurement was undertaken in view of the SP 5 of the FY 2024 GAA, which also contributed to delay in project implementation.		Assignment of	focal persons			Februa	ry 2025	OP	
		Quarterly mee budget utilizati	The state of the s	external cam	pus to address	February to D	ecember 2025	FMO	
		Conduct of Ear	ly Procuremer	t Activities		October to D	ecember 2025	BAC / Procurement Office	
			oordination v	vith the Bids	and Awards	rebruary to December 2025		FMO/Budget/BAC	
		Communicate additional pur lower than bid	chase request	rith the end-us is if there are	sers to prepare e savings (ABC	February to December 2025		Budget / End-User	
Physical Performance									
All identified targets were achieved/exceeded across all programs/indicators		Create a TWO timely submiss			collection and	* March 2025		Planing Office	
Revenue Performance									
Overall target and target per income type was exceeded except for the grants/donations		Hire personne semester of 20		the billing f	for the second	February, September 2025		Accounting	
		(OUS) re: Pro	posals that r	equire for iss	ersity Secretary uance of SARO			FMO / OUS	
		address poss	ible solutions unds (e.g. pre	s on existing of lie	plishments and g problem of quidation status arterly)	February to December 2025		Accounting	
Reportorial Requirements									
VSU was able to submit all the required reports/BFARs as of December 31, 2024		pursuant to ( January 1, 20: October 27, 2 12 dated Oct dated Novemb	COA-DBM Join 19; and BEDs 016, as amen ober 19, 201 er 18, 2018.	t Circular No per DBM CL No ded under DBI 7, and DBM (	ssion of BFARs . 2019-1 dated o. 2016-9 dated M CL No. 2017- CL No. 2018-10	d d		FMO/Planning/All concerned units of VSU	
		submission of	BEDs (i.e., fo 2021; for 202	r 2022 per CL 3 and thereat	inders on the 2021-11 dated fter per CL No.				

		PERFORMANCE RATING									
Criteria	1 1	2	3	4	5 '						
Poor		Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	Outstanding						
	1.00-1.99	2.00-2.99	3.00-3.99	4.00-4.99	5.00						
Financial Peformano	e										
Obligation vs Allotment			70-79% Obligation BUR	80-89% Obligation BUR	90-100% Obligation BUR						
Obligation vs Program	Below 50% Obligation vs Program Obligation BUR		70-79% Obligation BUR	80-89% Obligation BUR	90-100% Obligation BUR						
Disbursement vs Below 60% Disbursement Obligation BUR		60-79% Disbursement BUR	80-89% Disbursement BUR	90-94% Disbursement BUR	95-100% Disbursement BUR						
Disbursement vs Program	Below 60% Disbursement BUR	60-79% Disbursement BUR	80-89% Disbursement BUR	90-94% Disbursement BUR	95-100% Disbursement BUR						
Results vs Previous/ Comparable Year/s  Below 70% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment		71-80% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	81-89% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	90-94% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	95-100% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment						
Physical Performance	e										
Results vs Plan  Results vs Plan  Results vs Plan  Results vs Plan  Met less than 80% of PIs of the agreed annual performance targets; deficiencies due to controllable factors		Met less than 80% of PIs of the agreed annual performance targets; deficiencies due to uncontrollable factors	Met at least 80% of PIs of the agreed annual performance targets; deficiencies due to controllable factors	Met at least 80% of PIs of the agreed annual performance targets; deficiencies due to uncontrollable factors	Met all agreed annual performance targets						
Results vs Previous/ Comparable Year/s  Below 70% of previous/ comparable/ year's accomplishment		71-80% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	81-89% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	90-94% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment	95-100% of previous/ comparable year's accomplishment						
Timeliness of Reports / Data Submissions  Below 70% of all required documents submitted on time  Criteria		71-80% of all required documents submitted on time	81-89% of all required documents submitted on time	90-94% of all required documents submitted on time	95-100% of all required documents submitted or time						
		Raw Score	Weight	Equivalent	Remarks/VSU's accomplishment						
Financial Peformano	e	4.17	45%	1.83							
Obligation vs Allotment		5.00	10%	0.50	98.69%						
Obligation vs Program		5.00	10%	0.50	95.87%						
Disbursement vs Obligation		3.00	10%	0.30	89.18%						
Disbursement vs Progra	am	3.00	10%	0.30	85.49%						
Results vs Previous/Con	mparable Year/s										
Obligation vs Allotment		5.00	3%	0.15	99.59% (Current 98.69%) Previous 99.10%)						
Disbursement vs Obligation		4.00	2%	0.08	93.39% (Current 89.18% Previous 95.49%)						
Physical Performance (average of the scores per Program/Project )		5.00	45%	2.25							
Results vs Plan		5.00	25%	1.25	met all annual targets (14/14 PIs)						
Results vs Previous/Comparable Year/s		le Year/s 5.00		1.00	106.91% [(HEP 106.47%/ AEP 102.56 + RP 75.56 - TAEP 143.05%) / 4]						
Timeliness and Quality of Reports/Data Submissions		ports/Data 5.00		0.50	all BFARs (16 reports) as December 31, 2024 submitted on time						
Total Score		14.17	100%	4.58	Verse so						
Total Score		14.17									

MYLENE G. DE VEYRA
Superving BMS

FLORITA M. Chief BM\$

ALELI N. HERNANDEZ Director III

MELDA C. LACERAS, CESO II Director IV