COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF Rating Period July-December 2016 (Accomplishments)

Name of Administrative Staff: ARMANDO M. PABON

	Particulars	Numerical	Percentage	Equivalent	
(1)		Rating (2)	Weight	Numerical Ratin	
			(3)	(2 x 3)	
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.78	70%	3.35	
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.81	30%	1.44	
		4.79			

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.79

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.79

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

OUTSTANDING

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

ARMANDO M. PABON

Name of Staff

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

President,

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff Rating Period July-December 2016 (Accomplishments)

Name of Staff: ARMANDO M. PABON Position: Adm. Aide III (Utilityman)

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your

department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle

your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Title	Descriptive Title Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding	Outstanding The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model					
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements					
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					-
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to	meet	job re	equire	emen	ts
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					
A.	Commitment (both f	or subordinates and supervisors)			Scale		
1.		ivity to client's needs and makes the latter's cting business with the office fulfilling and	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available	to clients even beyond official time.	*5	(4)	3	2	
3.	offices/agencies such similar regulatory ag	routine reports required by higher n as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and encies within specified time by rendering without overtime pay.	(5)	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts all assigned	ed tasks as his/her share of the office targets and vithin the prescribed time.			3	2	
5.		hits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office isting co-employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks.				2	:
6.					3	2	
7.					3 rat:	2 ng)	
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients.		5	4	3	2	
9.	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university.				3	2	
10.	routine functions the	rs during lean periods by performing non- coutputs of which results as a best practice effectiveness of the office satisfaction of	(3)	4	3	2	

11. Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and	(5)	4	3	2	1
innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment.					
12. Willing to be trained and developed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
Total Score					
 Leadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor) 			Scale	2	
 Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors. 	5	4	3	2	1
Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	5	4	3	2	1
 Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients. 	5	4	3	2	1
 Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit. 	5	4	3	2	1
 Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit. 	5	4	3	2	1
Total Score	53	-	1		
Average Score	4.8	1			

Overall recommendation:	
Overall recommendation.	

Name of Head

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE COMMITMENT & REVIEW FORM (IPCR)

I, <u>ARMANDO M. PABON</u>, of the Department of Pest Management commits to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period <u>July 2016</u> to <u>December 2016</u>

ARMANDO M. PABON

Ratee

Approved:

ESUSITO/L. LIM

Head Unit

				Rating					
MFO & PAPs	Success Indicator	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual Accomplishment	Q1	E2	T3	A4	Remarks
Administrative Support Services	# of rooms maintained	Number cleanliness and orderliness of DPM	13	20	4	4	5	4.33	
	Area of lawns maintained	Maintained cleanliness of dept. lawns, inside and outside of the building	1000 sq.m.	1000 sq.m.	5	5	5	5.0	
	# of plants cared	Takes care of the plants both inside and outside the DPM building	70	100	4	5	5	4.67	
	# of papers/pages mimeographed	Mimeographs course outline, lab. exercises, handouts, office forms and etc.	6000	6500	5	5	5	5.0	
	# of supplies withdrawn	Assist in the withdrawal of supplies from supply office	10	10	5	4	5	4.67	
	# of garbage disposed	Assist in disposal of garbage in garbage dump site	20	40	5	5	5	5.0	
Total Overall Rating								28.67	

Average Rating (Total Ov	er-all rating divided by 4)	4.78	
Additional Points:			
Punctuality			
Approved Additional poir	nts (with copy of approval)		
FINAL RATING		4.78	
ADJECTIVAL RATING		0	

Received by:	Calibrated by:	Recommending Approval:	Approved by:
DANIEL M. TUDTUD, JR. Planning Officer	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL PMT	BEATRIZ S. BELONIAS Vice President	EDGARDO E. TULIN President
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:

- 1 Quality
- 2 Efficiency
- 3 Timeliness
- 4 Average