Annex P

COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATINGFOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Name of Administrative Staff: Mike B. Pausanos

Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight 70% (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1. Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.52	x 70%	3.16
Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	4.25 x 30%		1.27
	4.43		

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Name of Staff

REMBERTOA. PATINDOL

Department/Office Head

Recommending Approval:

REMBERTO A. PATINDOL

Chairman, PMT

Approved:

EDGA/RDO E. TULIN

President ...

I, Mike B. Pausanos, of the <u>VSU-Cebu Office</u> commit to deliver and agree to be rated on the attainment of of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the period July 1 - December 31, 2016.

MIKE B. PAUSANOS

Approximately 1. Mike B. PAUSANOS

4 - Average

2 - Efficiency

Approved:

Ratee		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Head of Office				
MFO & Performance	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned Actual Accomplishment			Rating			Remarks
Indicators (PI)	Success indicators	Tasks Assigned	Actual Accomplishment	Q ¹	E ²	T ³	A ⁴	
MFO1: Procurement	No. of RFQs, POs, and checks	950	965	4	4	4	4.00	
Assistance	served to and retrieved from suppliers						-	
	No. of invoices/ORs issued with	181	233	5	5	5	5.00	
	items purchased & picked up							
	No. of invoices received for items	41	63	4	4	5	4.33	
	delivered, inspected, and recorded							
	No. of trip tickets issued to pick up/	90	95	5	5	5	5.00	
	send transmittals with items							
MFO2: Admin. Support	No. of docs. picked up/delivered	81	121	4	4	4	4.00	
& Services	from sender/to addressee							
	No. of hours driving for official guests	65	83	5	5	5	5.00	
	with official transactions in Cebu							
	No. of boat tickets purchased for	39	48	5	5	4	4.67	
	official guests				-			
MFO3: Lodging	No. of incoming guests served at the	20	25	4	4	4	4.00	
Accomodat'n & Maintenance	lodging house						-	
	No. of hours spent in cleaning/	240	280	5	4	5	4.67	
	utility work				-		40.67	
Total Over-all Rating					1			
	Average Rating (Total Over-all	rating divided by 4)					4.52	
A	Additional Points:						1	
	Punctuality						1	
	Approved Additional points (with co	py of approval)					4.52	
	FINAL RATING					VS	4.52	
	ADJECTIVAL RATING							
Received by:	Calibrated by:		Recommending Approval:		Approved by	:		
<u> </u>	Man	,	1 Suns		/	1 . 0		
hab /	young		- Auto-			liss 0		

REDEMPTA SORIA Planning Office	REMBERTO A. PATINDOL PMT	REMBERTO A PATINDOL OVPAF	EDGARDO E. TULIN President
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:
1 - Quality	3 - Timeliness		

Annex O

Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period: JULY TO DECEMBER 2016

Name of Staff: Mike B. Pausanos Position: Administrative Aide III

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description					
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model					
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements					
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements					
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.					
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements					

A. Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Scale				
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	5	4	3	2	1	
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time			3	2	1	
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay			3	2	1	
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	5	4	3	2	1	
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	5	4	3	2	1	
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.		4	3	2	1	
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	5	4	3	2	1	
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	5	4	3	2	1	
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(3)	4	3	2	1	
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele		4	3	2	1	
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	⑤	4	3	2	1	